United States Bankruptcy Court District of New Mexico

Document Verification

Case Title: Lin R. Harris and Jerre M. Harris

Case Number: 02-18242

Chapter: 7
Judge Code: SA

First Meeting Location: Albuquerque
Reference Number: 7 - 02-18242 - SA

Document Information

Number: 35

Description: Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law Re: [9-1] Motion To Dismiss Bankruptcy by

United States Trustee.

Size: 9 pages (19k)

Date 10/15/2003 | **Date Filed:** 10/15/2003 | **Date Entered On Docket:** 10/15/2003

Received: 09:46:17 AM

Court Digital Signature

View History

46 fe 9b c3 88 c2 e2 d6 70 09 f7 d6 ed 48 f5 85 ea 13 02 01 4f 9e 19 81 d1 80 46 14 a4 1c 91 f1 af 22 ee 0c ab 7a bb 7c 38 e5 64 ef 28 75 62 f8 d7 8b 64 78 9f a1 a5 69 7e 5b ae 1b ef 68 b2 b2 d9 a3 4b 33 68 0c 3f 76 40 19 7b ca 7d 26 6c 20 76 df 64 8f cf fa 90 8e e2 f7 8b e3 8e a4 60 52 d3 88 bb 6b 0f 7b 38 89 6b 34 02 26 db 5b 21 65 67 38 b2 cf 35 ee 90 78 16 11 1c ae fc af 38 de

Filer Information

Submitted

By:

James E Burke

Comments: Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law on US Trustee's Motion to Dismiss

Digital Signature: The Court's digital signature is a verifiable mathematical computation unique to this document and the Court's private encryption key. This signature assures that any change to the document can be detected.

Verification: This form is verification of the status of the document identified above as of *Wednesday*, *December* 22, 2004. If this form is attached to the document identified above, it serves as an endorsed copy of the document.

Note: Any date shown above is current as of the date of this verification. Users are urged to review the official court docket for a specific event to confirm information, such as entered on docket date for purposes of appeal. Any element of information on this form, except for the digital signature and the received date, is subject to change as changes may be entered on the Court's official docket.

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW MEXICO

In re:
LIN HARRIS and
JERRE HARRIS,
Debtors.

No. 7-02-18242 SA

FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW ON US TRUSTEE'S MOTION TO DISMISS

This matter is before the Court on the United States

Trustee's Motion to Dismiss under 11 U.S.C. § 707(b). The

United States Trustee appears through its attorney Leonard

Martinez-Metzgar. Debtors appear through their attorney

Laurence Leshin. This is a core proceeding. 28 U.S.C. §

157(b)(2). As discussed below, the Court finds that the

Motion should be granted, but only after debtors have had the

opportunity to convert their case to a chapter 13 case.

In Stewart v. United States Trustee (In re Stewart), 175

F.3d 796, 808-09 (10th Cir. 1999), the Tenth Circuit Court of

Appeals rejected an "ability to repay debt" test and adopted a

"totality of the circumstances" standard to evaluate 707(b)

motions. "A substantial-abuse analysis must be made on a

case-by-case basis." Id. at 809. The analysis begins with a

determination of whether the debtor can repay his or her

debts. Id. Next, the Court should look at other relevant

factors to see if "substantial abuse" occurred. Id. These

other facts include whether debtor suffered any unique

hardships such as illness, calamity, disability or unemployment; whether cash advances and consumer purchases far exceeded ability to pay; whether there is a stable source of future income; whether the debtor's expenses can be significantly reduced without depriving him or her of necessities; and, finally, the debtor's good faith. Id. at 809-10. The Court is also mindful that there is a presumption in favor of granting the relief requested by the Debtors. 11 U.S.C. § 707(b). Against this backdrop, the Court will proceed to determine whether Debtors' case is a substantial abuse. The Court has reviewed the testimony and arguments presented at trial, and has reviewed the exhibits introduced into evidence, and now makes the following findings of fact and conclusions of law.

- 1. Debtors filed their voluntary chapter 7 petition on November 21, 2002.
- 2. Debtors have three children, ages 19, 18, and 12. The two older children now attend college; the younger one now attends public school.
- 3. Mr. Harris is an engineer with gross income of \$10,055 monthly. Mrs. Harris is a waitress with gross income of \$1,178 monthly. See Amended Schedules I and J (doc 12), UST Exhibit 7. Previously, by virtue of stock options arising

from his employment with Intel, the Debtors had combined income of about \$360,000 in CY 1999, \$700,000 in CY 2000 and \$240,000 in CY 2001. Several factors including the plunging stock market of 2001 and the apparently plunging market for high-end real estate after September 11, 2001 left Debtors with high amounts of unpaid tax, mortgage and consumer debt and cash flow difficulties which led to the filing of the petition.

- 4. Debtors' Schedule A lists one house, valued at \$330,000 but with no equity. The house was in foreclosure. Mr. Harris testified that the house was about to be sold. Debtors hoped to get some equity out, possibly \$18,000 depending on foreclosure attorney fees and costs. Debtors were unable to qualify for a mortgage to replace the house so they have entered a lease agreement with an option to purchase at a cost of \$2,000 per month. The rent/option to purchase payment will not be tax deductible.
- 5. Debtors' amended Schedules I and J, filed March 17, 2003, show \$8,012 total net monthly income and \$8,762 monthly expenses. Income is net of a \$790 deduction for a 401(k) loan repayment.

¹ Debtors' original schedules listed the same income but showed expenses of \$10,347.

- 6. Debtors' Exhibit F, totaling \$99,550 is mostly credit card debt, but there are also student loans of \$20,400.² Debtors owed no cash advances. Debtors' debts are primarily consumer debts.
- 7. Debtors' marginal tax rates are: federal, 31% (26 U.S.C. § 1(a)(1)); state, 7.7% (§ 7-2-7 NMSA 1978 (2003 Cum. Supp.)).
- 8. Mr. Harris has a 401-k containing about \$100,000. He has 3 loans against the 401-k that total about \$36,339. He does not currently make voluntary 401-k contributions.
- 9. Because the Debtors will no longer have a mortgage deduction, their taxable income will increase by approximately \$27,800 for interest and \$2,400 for real estate taxes, based on their 2001 tax return (Exhibit 2(a), Schedule A.) This would increase their taxes as follows: federal, \$9,362 (31% rate); state, \$2,325 (7.7% rate). The total, \$11,687, works out to be a monthly additional expense of \$974.
- 10. If Debtors fail to repay the 401-k loan, the amount of the loan is included in debtors' income and is also subject to a penalty tax of 10%. See 26 U.S.C. § 72(t)(1).

² Schedule F lists only one student loan of \$10,200. Mr. Harris testified that in fact there were two \$10,200 loans owed to the Bosque Preparatory School. These apparently are the "Educational Loan Repayments" listed on schedule J.

- 11. If Debtors were to not repay the 401-k loan the tax consequences would be: federal, \$14,899 (31% rate + 10% penalty); state, \$2,798 (7.7% rate), for a total of \$17,697.
- 12. If Debtors proposed a chapter 13 plan to pay their existing tax debt (\$16,700) plus the taxes due from a nonpayment of the 401-k plan (\$17,697), the plan would have to pay a total of \$34,397 in taxes.
- 13. The Court finds that the Debtors' amended expenses are not reasonable and can be significantly reduced.
- 14. Among the expenses are a home mortgage expense of \$2,862 (excluding real estate taxes and insurance). Mr. Harris testified that this figure would become \$2,000 per month upon the sale of the house, which was scheduled to take place shortly after the conclusion of the trial. Beyond that, however, the Court is of the opinion that reasonably comfortable housing for five persons can obtained for no more than \$1,500 per month. There is no Code requirement that the Debtors' postpetition housing situation leave the Debtors and their family in the location (Corrales) or style to which they may have become accustomed. See In re Bottelberghe, 253 B.R. 256, 263 (Bankr. D. Minn. 2000)
- 15. The amended schedule J includes "Educational Loan Repayments" in the amount of \$142 per month. This represents

payments on two \$10,200 student loans from Bosque Prep, where the older children attended private school. This could constitute disposable income if the Debtors were to do a chapter 13 plan.

16. Entries for "Kids Educational & Extracurricular Fees" and "College Expenses (Fall 2003) 2 Kids, Books & Supplies" totaled \$450 per month. The remaining high-school age child is in public school. While at least one other court has ruled that paying for an adult child's college education is a reasonable chapter 13 expense, <u>In re Gonzales</u>, 157 B.R. 604, 607 (Bankr. E.D. Mich. 1993), this Court finds that adult children can be expected to finance their own education rather than have the creditors do so. Various programs, including, in New Mexico, the lottery scholarship program, help make that workable. And debtor parents may make some contribution to that effort by significantly sacrificing some other expenditures. See In re Leon-Guerrero and Facio, No. 13-99-12568, slip op. at 11 (Bankr. D. N.M. Oct. 19, 2000)(citing Bottelberghe, 253 B.R. at 263 (Chapter 13 debtors should have some reasonable latitude in determining the manner in which they will maintain and support themselves and their dependents.))

17. Debtors' budget (as currently proposed by Debtors, with amendments for the Court's "proposed reductions"), assuming nonpayment of the 401k plan and payment of the all taxes through a chapter 13 plan would be as follows:

INCOME	
Net, From Amended Schedule I	\$ 8,012
Add: 401k loan deduction	\$ <u>790</u>
Adjusted net income	\$ 8,802

EXPENSES		
From Amended J	\$	8,762
Less: Mortgage	\$	(2,862)
Less: \$1000 per month IRS	\$	(1,000)
Add: Rent	\$	1,500
Less: Student loans	\$	(142)
Less: College expenses	\$	(450)
Add: increased taxes	<u>\$</u>	<u>974</u>
Adjusted total expenses	\$	6,782

Therefore, there would be disposable income of \$2020 per month. Debtors could pay \$72,720 to a three year chapter 13 plan. This would pay all taxes, administrative costs, and result in a sizeable dividend to unsecured creditors.

18. The Court should examine other factors which may indicate substantial abuse. Stewart, 175 F.3d at 809. Therefore the Court needs to make additional findings.

- 19. Debtors did not incur their debts through any unique hardship such as an emergency, disaster, illness or unemployment.
- 20. Debtors income in previous years was significantly higher than it currently is. Mr. Harris' income from wages in 2000 was \$696,000. The debts incurred were not far in excess of the Debtors' abilities to pay at the time they were incurred. Debtors participated in consumer counseling without success before seeking bankruptcy relief.³
- 21. The Court finds that the Chapter 7 petition was filed in good faith.
- 22. Under the totality of the circumstances, the Court finds that to grant the chapter 7 relief sought by the Debtors would constitute a substantial abuse of the Code. In consequence, the United States Trustee's motion to dismiss should be granted. However, on the request of the Debtors, which was not opposed by the United States Trustee, the Court will permit the Debtors twenty days from the entry of this order to

³ In the summer of 2002, Debtors engaged in "credit counseling" with an entity called "Myvesta". Part of the arrangement required the Debtors to turn over their finances to Myvesta for three months while Myvesta sought to arrange payment terms with Debtors' creditors. Myvesta's efforts were unsuccessful, but cost the Debtors \$7,000. This transaction, which took place within a year of the filing of the petition, was not listed in the answer to question 9 of the Statement of Financial Affairs.

convert their case to one under chapter 13 of the Code, failing which the chapter 7 case will be dismissed.⁴

Honorable James S. Starzynski United States Bankruptcy Judge

I hereby certify that on October 15, 2003, a true and correct copy of the foregoing was either electronically transmitted, faxed, delivered, or mailed to the listed counsel and parties.

Laurence M Leshin PO Box 3326 Albuquerque, NM 87190-3326

Leonard K Martinez-Metzgar PO Box 608 Albuquerque, NM 87103-0608

James 5. Burke_

⁴ In the event of conversion, the findings and conclusions in this opinion are not intended to preclude the chapter 13 trustee from conducting her own investigation of the Debtors' finances and other circumstances in connection with the plan or for any other reason.