United States Bankruptcy Court District of New Mexico

Document Verification

Case Title: Margaret V. Peeler

Case Number: 02-10614

Chapter: 7
Judge Code: SA

First Meeting Location: Albuquerque
Reference Number: 7 - 02-10614 - SA

Document Information

Number: 25

Description: Memorandum Opinion re: [9-1] Motion To Dismiss Bankruptcy under 11 USC section

707(b) by United States Trustee.

Size: 5 pages (13k)

Date 10/14/2003 | **Date Filed:** 10/14/2003 | **Date Entered On Docket:** 10/14/2003

Received: 09:25:08 AM

Court Digital Signature

View History

9d 05 77 63 62 63 80 f0 a6 81 a7 b8 6c 55 5d 5a 54 bb 99 71 75 63 bf 2b 2b 6b d5 17 b3 64 0a 1a 27 f5 36 01 e3 c7 61 71 ba 51 32 3e c3 57 c1 b6 95 12 4c f9 69 c0 cf c3 bb 44 7a 3f 88 eb 9b 33 92 71 e1 21 eb ff 5f 8c 6d 46 8a 1e bb 85 e0 8d d6 9c fc 7f 53 54 28 a8 41 e2 7b bd e7 46 0f 2a 32 c5 40 3d 4a 51 99 5d 69 7d 51 26 64 d0 94 e7 ce 19 ba 44 bc 3f c9 3d ff c8 ac 49 f8 a2 0c 55

Filer Information

Submitted

By:

James E Burke

Comments: Memorandum Opinion on 707(b) Motions

Digital Signature: The Court's digital signature is a verifiable mathematical computation unique to this document and the Court's private encryption key. This signature assures that any change to the document can be detected.

Verification: This form is verification of the status of the document identified above as of *Wednesday*, *December* 22, 2004. If this form is attached to the document identified above, it serves as an endorsed copy of the document.

Note: Any date shown above is current as of the date of this verification. Users are urged to review the official court docket for a specific event to confirm information, such as entered on docket date for purposes of appeal. Any element of information on this form, except for the digital signature and the received date, is subject to change as changes may be entered on the Court's official docket.

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW MEXICO

In re:

MARGARET PEELER,

Debtor.

No. 7-02-10614 SA

In re:

DONALD J. FRANKLIN, and SANDRA MAY FRANKLIN,

Debtors.

No. 7-02-11408 SA

In re:

DENNIS MASSEGEE, II,
Debtor.

No. 7-02-11571 SA

MEMORANDUM OPINION ON 707(b) MOTIONS

This matter is before the Court on the United States

Trustee's Motions to Dismiss the above three cases under 11

U.S.C. § 707(b). The United States Trustee ("UST") appears

through its attorney Leonard K. Martinez-Metzgar. All three

defendants are represented by Bill Gordon & Associates

(Stephen C.M. Long and Dennis A. Banning). These are core

proceedings. 28 U.S.C. § 157(b)(2).

The Court has not conducted a trial on these motions.

The UST seeks a ruling that 401(k) pension contributions and loan repayments to 401(k) plans constitute disposable income that should be taken into account in determining whether debtors have disposable income with which to fund a chapter 13 plan. The record consists of the motions that include facts gleaned from the Debtors' schedules (with an accompanying

brief), the Debtors' Memorandum in Opposition to the UST's Motion, and a Reply to Debtors' Memorandum. As discussed below, the Court does not have sufficient evidence to grant or deny the motion and will set the matters down for evidentiary hearings.

Stewart v. United States Trustee (In re Stewart), 175

F.3d 796 (10th Cir. 1999) is the controlling case. In Stewart the Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit adopted a "totality of the circumstances" standard for § 707(b) dismissals. Id. at 809. The Court stated that ability to pay is "a primary factor" in determining substantial abuse, but that "other relevant or contributing factors" must also be examined. Id. The substantial abuse analysis must be made on a case-by-case basis. Id.

A. Franklin

Based on the record before the Court, it is impossible at this point to determine the "primary" factor of ability to pay. Amended Schedule I shows net income of \$3,499 after a 401(k) contribution of \$439 and a 401(k) loan repayment of \$186. Amended Schedule J shows monthly expenses of \$3,607. The UST proposes that Debtors stop the 401(k) contribution and loan repayment. UST claims that there would be about a 28% tax on the funds no longer contributed to the 401(k) and that

there would be a resultant disposable income of \$393. UST's calculations ignore the tax impact of the Debtors' failure to repay the 401(k) loan. Failure to repay the loan would result in current taxable income for the amount of the loan plus, perhaps, a 10% penalty tax if the Debtors are not 59% years old. This tax would be a priority expense that would have to be paid during the life of a chapter 13 plan. There is nothing in the record that specifies the amount of the loan, and the Court therefore cannot determine if there is an ability to pay.

Furthermore, <u>Stewart</u> counsels that ability to pay is only the first step in the substantial abuse analysis. Other factors that the Court should consider are: (1) unique hardships such as sudden illness, calamity, disability, or unemployment, (2) whether cash advances and consumer purchases far exceeded the Debtors' ability to pay at the time, (3) the stability of the future income source, (4) whether Debtors' expenses can be significantly reduced without depriving them of adequate food, clothing, shelter and other necessities and whether the Debtors' current expenses are excessive, and (5) the overall good faith of the debtors. This information is simply not in the record at this point.

B. <u>Peeler</u>

The Peeler case is similar. Ms. Peeler does not show a current 401(k) contribution, but lists a \$1,110 401(k) repayment. The Court cannot project this Debtor's disposable income, and the record does not support any findings on the 5 other Stewart factors.

C. <u>Masseqee</u>

The Massegee case is slightly different from the prior two. Mr. Massegee lists an expense of \$1,269 for SERP/401K. This does not appear to involve a 401(k) loan on which there would be adverse tax consequences if the payment were not made. Debtor's gross income is listed at \$4,500, and net income at \$1,696. Schedule J shows expenses of \$1,815. The UST argues that adding back the 401(k) contribution (net of 28% income tax) would increase disposable income to \$794. Therefore, as an initial matter, it appears that Mr. Massegee has an ability to pay some creditors. However, the record lacks information regarding the other 5 Stewart factors, so the Court cannot find, as a matter of law, that there is substantial abuse.

CONCLUSION

The Court will set a pretrial conference to determine if there are any discovery matters and to set trial.

Sussan -

Honorable James S. Starzynski United States Bankruptcy Judge

I hereby certify that on October 14, 2003, a true and correct copy of the foregoing was either electronically transmitted, faxed, delivered, or mailed to the listed counsel and parties.

William P Gordon 2501 Yale SE Ste 204 Albuquerque, NM 87106-4357

Leonard K Martinez-Metzgar PO Box 608 Albuquerque, NM 87103-0608

James F. Burke_